

# **Nodularin Report**

Project: Central Davis Sewer District

	Leland Myers
Organization:	Central Davis Sewer District
Email:	jillj@cdsewer.org; ljmyers@cdsewer.org
Sample Receipt Date:	15 March 2021
Sample Condition:	22.2 °C
Report #:	210312 – Central Davis Sewer District
Date Prepared:	24 March 20210
Prepared by:	Mark Aubel

Sample Identification	Description/Site	Sample Collection Date
FB1	Great Salt Lake	12 March 2021
FB4	Great Salt Lake	12 March 2021

Analytes: Nodularin (NOD)

### **Sample Preparation**

#### Water Sample Ultrasonication

Upon receipt, the samples were inverted for 60 seconds to mix and 40 mL aliquots were removed for phycological analyses. Ultrasonication was conducted on 100 mL aliquots to lyse cells and release of toxins.

### Solid Phase Extraction (SPE)

Preconditioned Strata X Polymeric SPE (100 mg) columns were loaded with 1.0 mL of sample, rinsed with deionized water and eluted with 90% acetonitrile. Elutions were blown to dryness (N<sub>2</sub> at 60°C) and reconstituted in 0.5 mL deionized water (2x preconcentration).



cyanolab.com



# **Quality Control**

 Table 1: LFSM/LFSMD QC sample prepared for analysis (unless otherwise noted)

Analyte	Concentration (ng/mL)	Sample ID(s)	Return	
NOD	1.0	FB1	71%	

Additional Quality Control/Quality Assurance checks included method blanks and a LFB.

## Analytical Techniques

#### NOD

The method described in Foss and Aubel (2015) was modified to accommodate only nodularin. A Certified Reference Standard of NOD (1.0 ng/mL) was used to calibrate the method. Table 2 below shows the transitions monitored. A MDL was determined through standard addition (LFSM).

Table 2			
	Precursor Ion Fragment Ions		Fragment Ions
Analyte	(m/z)		(m/z)
NOD	$[M+H]^+$	825.5	599, 674, 776, 781, 808





## **Summary of Results**

Sample ID	NOD (ng/mL)	
FB1	ND	
FB4	ND	
MDL (ng/mL)	0.1	
Analyst Initials	MA	
Date Analyzed	3/24/21	

#### Qualifier Flag

CL	Analytical result is estimated due to ineffective quenching.
J	Analyte was positively identified; the associated numerical value is estimated.
РТ	The reported result is estimated because the sample was not analyzed within required holding time.
В	Analytical result is estimated. Analyte was detected in associated reagent blank as well as the samples.
Е	Analytical result is estimated. Values achieved were outside calibration range.
Ν	Spiked sample control was outside limits
Т	The reported result is estimated because the sample exceeded temperature threshold when received

Abbreviations			
NA	Not Applicable	LFSM	Lab Fortified Sample Matrix
MDL	Method Detection Limit	LFSMD	Lab Fortified Sample Matrix Duplicate
MQL	Method Quantification Limit	LD	Lab Duplicate
ND	Not Detected above the MDL	SUR	Surrogate
Blank	Regent Water free from interferences	—	Not Analyzed
LFB	Lab Fortified Blank	MRL	Method Reporting Limit

Submitted by:

Date:

Mark T. Aubel, Ph.D. March 24, 2021

This report shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory



205 Zeagler Drive Suite 302• Palatka, FL 32177info@greenwaterlab.com• greenwaterlab.com