

# **Nodularin Report**

Project: Central Davis Sewer District

Submitted to: Leland Myers

Organization: Central Davis Sewer District

Email: jillj@cdsewer.org; ljmyers@cdsewer.org

Sample Receipt Date: 15 October 2021

Sample Condition: 27.8 °C

Report #: 211013 – Central Davis Sewer District

Date Prepared: 22 October 2021 Prepared by: Mark Aubel

Sample Identification Description/Site Sample Collection Date

FB1 Great Salt Lake 13 October 2021

Analytes: Nodularin (NOD)

## **Sample Preparation**

### Water Sample Freeze Thaw

Upon receipt, the sample was inverted for 60 seconds to mix and 40 mL aliquots were removed for phycological analyses. Three freeze/thaw cycles were conducted on 10 mL aliquots to lyse cells and release of toxins.

## Solid Phase Extraction (SPE)

Preconditioned Strata X Polymeric SPE (100 mg) columns were loaded with 1.0 mL of sample, rinsed with deionized water and eluted with 90% acetonitrile. Elutions were blown to dryness ( $N_2$  at 60°C) and reconstituted in 0.5 mL deionized water (2x preconcentration). A duplicate sample was spiked (LFSM) at 1.0 ng/mL. Standard addition was utilized for any quantitation. All samples were filtered using 0.45  $\mu$ m PVDF prior to LC-MS/MS.





## **Quality Control**

Table 1: LFSM QC sample prepared for analysis (unless otherwise noted)

Analyte	Concentration (ng/mL)	Sample ID(s)	QC Type	Return
NOD-A	1.0	FB1	LFSM	82%

Additional Quality Control/Quality Assurance checks included method blanks and a LFB.

# **Analytical Techniques**

#### NOD-A

The method described in Foss and Aubel (2015) was modified to accommodate only nodularin. Certified Reference Standards of NOD-A (5.0, 1.0, 0.5, 0.25, 0.1 ng/mL) were used to calibrate the method. Table 2 below shows the transitions monitored. MDLs were determined through spike response, dilutions factors and instrument detection limits. The internal standard method was used in quantification.

		Table 2	
	Precurs	or Ion	Fragment Ions
Analyte	( <i>m</i> /	(z)	(m/z)
NOD-A	$[M+H]^+$	825.5	389, 674, 691, 753, 781, 808
$[^{15}N_{10}]MC-LR$	$[M+H]^+$	1005.5	987.5



# **Summary of Results**

Sample ID	NOD (ng/mL)	
FB1	ND	
MDL (ng/mL)	0.1	
Analyst Initials	MA	
Date Analyzed	10/21/2021	

CL Analytical result is estimated due to ineffective quenching.	
J Analyte was positively identified; the associated numerical value is estimated.	
PT The reported result is estimated because the sample was not analyzed within required holding time.	
B Analytical result is estimated. Analyte was detected in associated reagent blank as well as the samples.	
E Analytical result is estimated. Values achieved were outside calibration range.	
N Spiked sample control was outside limits	
T The reported result is estimated because the sample exceeded temperature threshold when received	

Abbreviations						
NA	Not Applicable	LFSM	Lab Fortified Sample Matrix			
MDL	Method Detection Limit	LFSMD	Lab Fortified Sample Matrix Duplicate			
MQL	Method Quantification Limit	LD	Lab Duplicate			
ND	Not Detected above the MDL	IS	Internal Standard			
Blank	Regent Water free from interferences	_	Not Analyzed			
LFB	Lab Fortified Blank	MRL	Method Reporting Limit			

Submitted by:

Mark T. Aubel, Ph.D.

Date: October 22, 2021

This report shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory