



Nodularin Report

Project: Central Davis Sewer District

| Submitted to: | Leland Myers |
|----------------------|---|
| Organization: | Central Davis Sewer District |
| Address: | 2200 So. Sunset Dr., Kaysville, Utah, 85037 |
| Email: | jillj@cdsewer.org; ljmyers@cdsewer.org |
| Sample Receipt Date: | 04 August 2022 |
| Sample Condition: | 26.1 °C upon receipt |
| Report #: | 220803_CDS |
| Date Prepared: | 20 September 2022 |
| Prepared by: | Mark Aubel |

Table 1: Samples analyzed

| Sample Identification | Description/Site | Collection Date |
|-----------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| FB1 | Great Salt Lake | 03 August 2022 |
| FB4 | Great Salt Lake | 03 August 2022 |

Analytes: Nodularin-R (NOD)

| Abbreviations | | | |
|---------------|--------------------------------------|-------|---------------------------------------|
| MRL | Method Reporting Limit | FS | Field Sample |
| MDL | Method Detection Limit | LFSM | Lab Fortified Sample Matrix |
| Blank | Water/buffer free from interferences | LFSMD | Lab Fortified Sample Matrix Duplicate |
| LFB | Lab Fortified Blank | LD | Lab Duplicate |
| MB | Method Blank | IS | Internal Standard |
| CCC | Continued Calibration Check | — | Not Analyzed |
| ND | Not Detected above the MDL/MRL | NA | Not Applicable |







Sample Preparation

Water Sample Freeze Thaw

The samples were inverted for 60 seconds to mix and 40 mL aliquots were removed for phycological analyses. Three freeze/thaw cycles were conducted on 30 mL aliquots to lyse cells and release of toxins.

Extraction

NOD

Sample aliquots (10 mL) were fortified with internal standard (IS) with a duplicate LFSM. Preconditioned Strata X Polymeric SPE (200 mg) columns were loaded with sample, rinsed with deionized water and eluted with 90% acetonitrile. Elutions were blown to dryness (N₂ at 60°C) and reconstituted in 0.5 mL deionized water (20-fold preconcentration). All samples were filtered (0.2 μ m PVDF) prior to LC-MS/MS.

Analytical Techniques

Liquid chromatography mass spectrometry/mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) NOD

LC-MS/MS was used for a targeted nodularin-R analysis. The $[M+H]^+$ ion for NOD (m/z 825.5) was fragmented and the product ions (m/z 389.4, 674.5, 691.5, 753.5, 781.5, 808.0) were monitored. The $[M+H]^+$ ion for the internal standard $[^{15}N_{10}]MC$ -LR (m/z 1005.5) was fragmented and the product ion (m/z 987.5) was monitored. The internal standard method was used in quantification.





Quality Control

Table 2: Lab fortified matrix sample (LFSM) and internal standard (IS) returns prepared for analyses pre-extraction. Additional QA/QC checks included LFBs, continued calibration checks and external curves.

| Analyte | Concentration (ng/mL) | Sample ID(s) | QC Type | Return |
|----------------------|-----------------------|--------------|------------|------------|
| NOD | 0.5 | FB4 | LFSM | 82% |
| $[^{15}N_{10}]MC-LR$ | 0.5 | all samples | IS | $79\pm8\%$ |

*Control limits: water LFSM \pm 30%; complicated matrix LFSM and when LFSM within 2x MDL \pm 50%; IS \pm 50%

| Qualifier | Flag |
|-----------|--|
| CL | Analytical result is estimated due to ineffective quenching. |
| J | Analyte was positively identified; the associated numerical value is estimated. |
| PT | The reported result is estimated because the sample was not analyzed within required holding time. |
| В | Analytical result is estimated. Analyte was detected in associated reagent blank as well as the samples. |
| Е | Analytical result is estimated. Values achieved were outside calibration range. |
| Ν | Spiked sample control was outside limits |
| Т | The reported result is estimated because the sample exceeded temperature threshold when received |
| | |





Summary of Results

Table 3: Summary results for LC-MS/MS analysis of nodularin-R (NOD), reported in ppb (ng/mL).

| Sample ID | NOD (ng/mL) |
|-------------------|--------------------------|
| FB1 | 0.05 ^T |
| FB4 | ND ^T |
| MDL (ng/mL): | 0.05 |
| Analyst Initials: | AF |
| Date Analyzed: | 9/19/2022 |

Interpretations:

A low level of NOD was determined present in the FB1 sample.

Submitted by:

Mark T. Aubel, Ph.D.

September 20, 2022

Date:

This results in this report relate only to the samples listed above. This report shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.



205 Zeagler Drive Suite 302Palatka, FL 32177info@greenwaterlab.comgreenwaterlab.com